

Gravity: New ideas for unsolved problems,
Workshop in honour of 67th birthday of Milutin Blagojević,
Divčibare, September 2011

Gauge theory on twisted κ -Minkowski space-time

Marija Dimitrijević

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics,
Belgrade, Serbia

Based on: M. Dimitrijević and L. Jonke, arXiv:1107.3475[hep-th],
M. Dimitrijević, L. Jonke and L. Möller, *JHEP* **0509** (2005) 068.

Overview

Introduction & Reminder

Kappa-Minkowski via twist

$U(1)$ gauge theory

1st approach

2nd approach

Conclusions & Outlook

Introduction

κ -Minkowski space-time is defined by

$$[\hat{x}^0, \hat{x}^j] = ia\hat{x}^j, \quad [\hat{x}^i, \hat{x}^j] = 0,$$

with $a = 1/\kappa$ and $i, j = 1, 2, 3$.

-a dimensionful deformation of the global Poincaré group, the κ -Poincaré group [Lukierski, Nowicki, Ruegg, '92].

-an arena for formulating new physical concepts: Double Special Relativity [Amelino-Camelia '02], The principle of relative locality [Amelino-Camelia, Freidel, Kowalski-Glikman, Smolin, '11]; **potentially interesting phenomenology**.

★-product approach [Dimitrijević, Meyer, Möller Wess '04; Dimitrijević, Jonke, Möller '05] has problems with: non-unique derivatives, differential calculus, non-cyclic integral. \Rightarrow Difficult to do field theory...

Suggestion: apply the twist formalism!

Reminder: twist formalism

Consider **first** a deformation (twist) of a classical symmetry algebra g (Lorentz, SUSY, gauge, ...). **Then** deform the space-time itself.

A **twist** \mathcal{F} (introduced by Drinfel'd in 1983-1985) is:

- an element of $Ug \otimes Ug$
- invertible
- fulfills **the cocycle condition** (ensures the associativity of the \star -product)

$$\mathcal{F} \otimes 1(\Delta \otimes id)\mathcal{F} = 1 \otimes \mathcal{F}(id \otimes \Delta)\mathcal{F}.$$

-additionally: $\mathcal{F} = 1 \otimes 1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$; \hbar -deformation parameter.

Notation: $\mathcal{F} = f^\alpha \otimes f_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1} = \bar{f}^\alpha \otimes \bar{f}_\alpha$.

Kappa-Minkowski via twist

Consider **twisting the global Poincaré symmetry** $iso(1,3)$ with

$$\mathcal{F} = e^{-\frac{i}{2}\theta^{ab}X_a\otimes X_b} = e^{-\frac{ia}{2}(\partial_0\otimes x^j\partial_j - x^j\partial_j\otimes\partial_0)},$$

with $X_1 = \partial_0$, $X_2 = x^j\partial_j$, $[X_1, X_2] = 0$ and $\theta^{ab} = a\epsilon^{ab}$.

Consequences:

-the vector field X_2 not in universal enveloping algebra of Poincaré algebra, we enlarge it to get **twisted** $igl(1,3)$ [Borowiec, Pachol, '09].

- \star -product of functions

$$\begin{aligned}f \star g &= \mu\{\mathcal{F}^{-1} f \otimes g\} \\&= f \cdot g + \frac{ia}{2} \mathbf{x}^j ((\partial_0 f) \partial_j g - (\partial_j f) \partial_0 g) + \mathcal{O}(a^2) \\&= f \cdot g + \frac{i}{2} C_{\lambda}^{\rho\sigma} x^{\lambda} (\partial_{\rho} f) \cdot (\partial_{\sigma} g) + \mathcal{O}(a^2),\end{aligned}$$

with $C_{\lambda}^{\rho\sigma} = a(\delta_0^{\rho}\delta_{\lambda}^{\sigma} - \delta_0^{\sigma}\delta_{\lambda}^{\rho})$.

Especially: $[x^0 \star x^j] = iax^j$ and $[x^i \star x^j] = 0$.

-differential calculus

$$\begin{aligned}df &= (\partial_\mu^\star) \star dx^\mu, \quad \partial_0^\star = \partial_0, \quad \partial_j^\star = e^{-\frac{i}{2}a\partial_0} \partial_j, \\f \star dx^0 &= dx^0 \star f, \quad f \star dx^j = dx^j \star e^{ia\partial_0} f, \\dx^\mu \wedge_\star dx^\nu &= dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu, \quad d^4x = dx^0 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^3.\end{aligned}$$

-integral:

$$\int \omega_1 \wedge_\star \omega_2 = (-1)^{d_1 d_2} \int \omega_2 \wedge_\star \omega_1,$$

with $d_1 + d_2 = 4$.

$U(1)$ gauge theory coupled with matter: 1st approach

The NC matter field ψ transforms under infinitesimal NC gauge transformation as

$$\delta^* \psi = i\Lambda \star \psi,$$

with the NC gauge parameter Λ . The NC covariant derivative $D\psi$ is defined by:

$$D\psi = d\psi - iA \star \psi = D_\mu^* \psi \star dx^\mu$$
$$D_0^* = \partial_0^* \psi - iA_0 \star \psi, \quad D_j^* = \partial_j^* \psi - iA_j \star e^{-ia\partial_0} \psi$$

where the NC connection is $A = A_\mu \star dx^\mu$. From

$$\delta^* D\psi = i\Lambda \star D\psi,$$

it follows

$$\delta^* A = d\Lambda + i[\Lambda \star A], \quad \delta^* A_0 = \partial_0 \Lambda + i[\Lambda \star A_0]$$
$$\delta^* A_j = \partial_j^* \Lambda + i\Lambda \star A_j - iA_j \star e^{-ia\partial_0} \Lambda.$$

The NC field-strength tensor is a two-form given by

$$F = \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} \star dx^\mu \wedge_\star dx^\nu = dA - iA \wedge_\star A$$

or in components

$$\begin{aligned} F_{0j} &= \partial_0^\star A_j - \partial_j^\star A_0 - iA_0 \star A_j + iA_j \star e^{-ia\partial_0} A_0 \\ F_{ij} &= \partial_i^\star A_j - \partial_j^\star A_i - iA_i \star e^{-ia\partial_0} A_j + iA_j \star e^{-ia\partial_0} A_i \end{aligned}$$

One can check that field-strength tensor transforms covariantly:

$$\delta^\star F = i[\Lambda \star F].$$

Next step: construction of the action.

The **NC action for matter fields** is a straightforward generalization of the commutative action.

The NC gauge field action should look like

$$S \propto \int F \wedge_\star (*F)$$

where $*F$ is the noncommutative Hodge dual. The obvious choice $*F = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha\beta} \star dx^\mu \wedge_\star dx^\nu$ does not lead to a gauge invariant action!

A way out: assume that $*F$ has the form

$$*F := \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}X^{\alpha\beta} \star dx^\mu \wedge_\star dx^\nu,$$

where $X^{\alpha\beta}$ components are determined demanding

$$\delta^\star(*F) = i[\Lambda^\star, *F]$$

Up to first order we obtain

$$X^{0j} = F^{0j} - aA_0 \star F^{0j}, \quad X^{jk} = F^{jk} + aA_0 \star F^{jk}.$$

Action

The NC action for gauge fields is

$$\begin{aligned} S_g &= \int F \wedge_\star (*F) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} \int \left\{ 2F_{0j} \star e^{-ia\partial_0} X^{0j} + F_{ij} \star e^{-2ia\partial_0} X^{ij} \right\} \star d^4x. \end{aligned}$$

For fermions we obtain

$$S_m \propto \int \left((\overline{D\psi})_B \star \psi_A - \bar{\psi}_B \star (D\psi)_A \right) \wedge_\star (V \wedge_\star V \wedge_\star V \gamma_5)_{BA}.$$

In flat space-time $V = V_\mu \star dx^\mu = \delta_\mu^a \gamma_a \star dx^\mu = \gamma_\mu dx^\mu$ and after tracing over spinor indices we find

$$S_m = \frac{1}{2} \int \left(\bar{\psi} \star (i\gamma^\mu D_\mu^\star - m)\psi - (i\overline{D_\mu^\star \psi} \gamma^\mu + m\bar{\psi}) \star \psi \right) \star d^4x.$$

Seiberg-Witten map

Idea: the NC gauge transformations are induced by commutative ones, $\delta^\star \rightarrow \delta_\alpha^\star$. Then:

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_\alpha(A^c), \quad A = A(A^c), \quad \psi = \psi(\psi^c, A^c), \dots \quad (1)$$

where α is the commutative gauge parameter and A^c, ψ^c are commutative fields.

The consistency relation for gauge transformations

$$(\delta_\alpha^\star \delta_\beta^\star - \delta_\beta^\star \delta_\alpha^\star) \psi(x) = \delta_{-i[\alpha, \beta]}^\star \psi$$

yields the solution for $\Lambda_\alpha(A^c)$. The transformation laws

$\delta_\alpha^\star \psi = i\Lambda_\alpha \star \psi(x)$, $\delta_\alpha^\star A = d\Lambda_\alpha + i[\Lambda_\alpha \star A]$, can be solved order by order in deformation parameter a . The solutions for the fields have free parameters (SW freedom), e.g.

$$\psi = \psi^c - \frac{1}{2} C_\lambda^{\rho\sigma} x^\lambda A_\rho^c (\partial_\sigma \psi^c) + i d_1 C_\lambda^{\rho\sigma} x^\lambda F_{\rho\sigma}^c \psi^c + d_2 a (D_0 \psi)^c.$$

Expanded action

Finally, the NC action expanded up to first order in a (expanding \star -product and using the SW map) reads:

$$\begin{aligned} S_g^{(1)} &= -\frac{1}{4} \int d^4x \left\{ F_{\mu\nu}^c F^{c\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} C_\lambda^{\rho\sigma} x^\lambda F^{c\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^c F_{\rho\sigma}^c + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 C_\lambda^{\rho\sigma} x^\lambda F^{c\mu\nu} F_{\mu\rho}^c F_{\nu\sigma}^c \right\}, \\ S_m^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \left\{ \bar{\psi}^c \left(i\gamma^\mu (D_\mu \psi)^c - m\psi^c + \frac{a}{2} \gamma^j (D_0 D_j \psi)^c + \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{i}{2} C_\lambda^{\rho\sigma} x^\lambda \gamma^\mu F_{\rho\mu}^c (D_\sigma \psi)^c \right) - \\ &\quad - \left(i\overline{D_\mu \psi}^c \gamma^\mu + m\bar{\psi}^c - \frac{a}{2} \overline{D_0 D_j \psi}^c \gamma^j \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{i}{2} C_\lambda^{\rho\sigma} x^\lambda \overline{D_\sigma \psi}^c \gamma^\mu F_{\rho\mu}^c \right) \psi^c \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

No free parameters! Calculate EOM, conserved $U(1)$ current, dispersion relations,...

2nd approach: natural basis

$$x^\mu = (t = x^0, x, y, z), \quad dx^\mu = (dt, dx, dy, dz), \quad \partial_\mu = (\partial_t, \partial_x, \partial_y, \partial_z)$$

$$\downarrow \quad [\text{Schenkel, Uhlemann '10}]$$

$$x^a = (t, r, \theta, \phi), \quad \theta^a = (dt, \frac{dr}{r}, d\theta, d\phi), \quad e_a = (\partial_t, r\partial_r, \partial_\theta, \partial_\phi)$$

$$\mathcal{F} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{F} = e^{-\frac{ia}{2}(\partial_0 \otimes r\partial_r - r\partial_r \otimes \partial_0)}, \quad f \star g = \dots, df = \dots$$

$$\text{But: } f \star \theta^a = \theta^a \star f = f \cdot \theta^a! \quad \text{Also: } \theta^a \wedge_\star \theta^b = \theta^a \wedge \theta^b!$$

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} \rightsquigarrow g_{ab} = \text{diag}(1, -r^2, -r^2, -r^2 \sin^2 \theta) \rightsquigarrow \text{Hodge dual in CURVED space-time!}$$

$$\star F^c = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{abcd} \sqrt{-g} g^{am} g^{bn} F_{mn} \theta^c \wedge \theta^d$$

$$\downarrow g^{ab} \star \Lambda_\alpha \neq \Lambda_\alpha \star g^{ab}$$

$$\star F = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{abcd} G^{ambn} \star F_{mn} \star \theta^c \wedge_\star \theta^d, \quad \delta_\alpha^\star G^{ambn} = i[\Lambda_\alpha \star, G^{ambn}].$$

Doable, but not finished. One has to check if the results are basis independent. To be expected, but...

Conclusions

- ▶ Advantages of twist formalism:
 - mathematically well defined
 - differential calculus
 - cyclic integral
 - no SW ambiguities
- ▶ Disadvantages:
 - Hodge dual is difficult to generalize
 - global Poincaré symmetry $iso(1, 3)$ is replaced by global inhomogeneous general linear symmetry $igl(1, 3)$
 - problem of conserved charges
- ▶ Possibilities:
 - new definition of \star -Hodge dual
 - twisted gauge symmetry
 - a different twist

Outlook

- ▶ Better understanding of the model
 - x -dependent term in the action: geometrical interpretation?
 - renormalization?
 - phenomenological consequences?
- ▶ Generalization
 - $su(n)$ gauge theory; SW freedom
 - twisted gauge symmetry helps?